

## Ventilation Rate During Adult CPR with Tracheal Tube



The optimal ventilation rate during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) with a tracheal tube is unknown. A new systematic review finds that a ventilation rate recommendation of  $10 \text{ min}^{-1}$  during adult CPR with a secure airway (tracheal tube) and no pauses for chest compression is a very weak recommendation based on very low quality evidence. The study, currently available online as an accepted manuscript, will appear in the journal *Resuscitation*.

The current ILCOR (International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation) ventilation recommendation of  $10 \text{ min}^{-1}$  was made in 2005 and stated that for a patient with an advanced airway in place, it is reasonable to ventilate the lungs at a rate of 8-10 ventilations  $\text{min}^{-1}$  without pausing during chest compressions to deliver ventilations. This was based on evidence from a pig study of cardiac arrest that showed that a respiratory rate of  $30 \text{ min}^{-1}$  as opposed to  $12 \text{ min}^{-1}$  was associated with increased intrathoracic pressure, decreased coronary and cerebral perfusion, and decreased ROSC (return of spontaneous circulation).

"Subsequent ILCOR recommendations in 2010 and 2015 have not identified convincing new evidence to support or refute the 2005 recommendation. Indeed, the 2010 and 2015 recommendations are primarily because a rate of about  $10 \text{ min}^{-1}$  is already in use and there is insufficient evidence to suggest another ventilation rate. Our updated search since the 2015 ILCOR recommendation confirms the absence of significant new evidence," according to the review team.

For this analysis, the team performed a systematic review up to 14 July 2016. They included both adult human and animal studies. Based on their inclusion/exclusion criteria, one human observational study with 67 patients and 10 animal studies (234 pigs and 30 dogs) were included in the analysis. Regarding the animal studies, two were randomised with a computer-generated process, one used sealed envelopes, one randomised in blocks of four, and the others provided little or no detail of the randomisation process.

All the 11 studies, which the team notes "carried a high risk of bias," evaluated for ROSC outcome. All studies showed no improvement in ROSC with a ventilation rate of  $10 \text{ min}^{-1}$  compared to any other rate. The evidence for longer-term outcomes such as survival to discharge and survival with favourable neurological outcome was very limited, says the review team.

"Ventilation rate is just one component of ventilation, and our knowledge of other aspects of ventilation during CPR is also extremely limited. For example, our knowledge of the optimal tidal volume, inspired oxygen, airway pressure and interaction between chest compression and ventilation during CPR is very limited," the authors write. "Future studies will need to look at a combination of factors to help us understand the role of ventilation rate during CPR."

Source: [Resuscitation](#)

Image Credit: [Bobgalindo](#)

Published on : Tue, 1 Aug 2017