

---

## ICU Volume 13 - Issue 1 - Spring 2013 - Management

### The Kidney as the Protagonist: An Interview with DR. Sean Bagshaw

---

Doctor Sean Bagshaw, Clinician Scientist and Associate Professor in the Division of Critical Care Medicine at the University of Alberta, Canada, supported by a Canada Research Chair in Critical Care Nephrology, has played an active and influential role in the research of clinical, epidemiological and translational issues related to acute kidney injury (AKI), and was this year elected as a Scientific Advisor for ISICEM. With AKI proving to be a hot topic of discussion and area of development of late, we asked Dr. Bagshaw to share his opinions on recent research as well as provide an insight into which organ interactions he thinks are posing the greatest challenge to physicians.

#### Following Your Widespread Research Related to AKI, What Guidance Can You Provide For Diagnosing the Condition, Predicting Worsening Injury, and Assessing the Need for Renal Replacement Therapy?

Acute kidney injury (AKI), occurring in the context of critical illness, continues to take a heavy toll on patients, presenting a high risk of death and long-term morbidity in survivors. It remains a common and challenging clinical problem for clinicians, is often iatrogenic, has virtually no recognised interventions to modify or improve outcome once well established, and clearly burdens our health systems with added expenditures.

One of the most important initiatives in AKI research has been to improve the capacity for early recognition and diagnosis. This has repeatedly been set forth as a top priority. While the recognition of established consensus-driven criteria, such as the RIFLE or AKIN criteria that are based on detection of changes to serum creatinine and urine output, has been a monumental advance in the field, we also recognise that these criteria that emphasise the use of serum creatinine as the driving marker for AKI are clearly inadequate and may contribute to not only delays in diagnosis but also missed episodes of important declines to glomerular filtration in our critically ill patients.

Recently, a number of studies, including those from Macedo and colleagues as well as myself and coinvestigators, both in 2011, have focused on time-honoured clinical parameters, such as urine output and urine microscopy, to inform on not only the diagnosis of AKI, but also predicting worsening AKI. These studies confirm suspicions that an episode of oliguria (urine output  $<0.5$  ml/kg/hr) is not banal. While short episodes of oliguria ( $<4$  hours) are not sensitive for predicting subsequent overt AKI when defined by serum creatinine based criteria, longer episodes of oliguria are very specific and show higher likelihood for worsening AKI. They also correlate with the risk for initiation of renal replacement therapy (RRT) and death, as Prowle and colleagues found. Importantly, clinicians should recognise that the risk of overt AKI with even short episodes of oliguria, of one to two hours, is probably context-specific, implying that critically ill patients with greater haemodynamic instability, characterised by metrics such as tachycardiac, hypotension, elevated central venous pressures and ongoing vasoactive support, are more likely to worsen. Similarly, recent studies, from Perazella and coinvestigators in 2010, and my own team in 2012, have shown that an evaluation of the urine sediment for evidence of cellular debris and casts correlates with AKI severity and can predict worsening AKI.

The discovery, characterisation and validation of a number of novel biomarkers specific for kidney damage, including a large multi-national team that I was a contributor towards, have also brought the promise of a new era in AKI. While numerous studies are ongoing, with the aim of better understanding how best to apply information gained from these novel biomarkers at the bedside, the belief is they will enable improved diagnostics (i.e. aetiology and severity of AKI), prognostics (i.e. risk of worsening AKI, need for RRT, non-recovery of kidney function) and inform risk prediction and decision-making for kidney-specific interventions (i.e. protective strategies, novel therapeutics).

Additional novel methods for the early detection of AKI in critically ill patients include the use of integrated clinical information systems and automated electronic alerting (i.e. the AKI sniffer). A recent prospective single-centre before and after interventional study in a mixed medical/surgical ICU, by Colpaert and coinvestigators, utilised an AKI sniffer to send automated e-alerts to responsible physicians when a patient had developed AKI, based on the RIFLE criteria. The AKI sniffer was sensitive to the diagnosis of AKI. During the three-month intervention phase, 1,416 e-alerts were sent to 616 patients, 92.3% of which were issued for oliguria. Importantly, patients who were issued an e-alert for AKI were more likely to have a faster assessment and more likely to receive an intervention—most commonly a fluid bolus, a diuretic or initiation of a vasopressor—when compared with the pre- and post-alert control phases. This translated into a higher proportion of patients in the e alert phase showing kidney function that had returned to baseline within eight hours.

It is believed, collectively, that these innovations in the diagnosis of AKI will greatly improve our capacity to identify risk and triage patients to interventional strategies that lead to improved outcomes.

#### What do You Consider to be the Prime Factors Related With the Initiation of Renal Support in Critically Ill Patients?

The optimal time to start RRT in critically ill patients with AKI, in the absence of immediate life-threatening complications such as hyperkalaemia or diuretic-resistant pulmonary oedema, is currently unknown; unfortunately, there is little consensus to guide clinicians on this issue. This is an important knowledge gap in how we care for critically ill patients with AKI, considering that RRT is one of the core technologies we use to sustain life. Furthermore, survey data would suggest that there is considerable variation in practice as to why and when RRT is utilised. This is clearly suboptimal and there is belief that this may in and of itself contribute to less favourable outcomes.

Survey data we collected in 2012, as well as data collected by Thakar and colleagues in 2012, also show that the perception of life threatening complications is an absolute trigger for starting RRT. However, observational studies have shown that these complications account for a minority of the prime indications for RRT in critically ill patients. Indeed, a recent study found that of all critically ill patients started on RRT, hyperkalaemia ( $K^+ >6$  mmol/l) was present in only 8%, severe acidaemia ( $pH < 7.15$ ) in 11% and azotaemia (urea  $>36$  mmol/l) in 21%, respectively. Instead, the most common indications in studies led by myself in 2012, were related to fluid overload or accumulation and oligoanuria, with most patients having multiple indications. Moreover, worsening illness severity correlates with a decreased threshold for starting RRT, which may account for the low incidence of classic life-threatening complications in critically ill patients. Fortunately, there are ongoing randomised trials that are evaluating the optimal timing and circumstances for starting RRT in the critically ill, which should better inform on this issue (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01557361).

#### **What New Findings Can You Report on Haemofiltration and Haemodialysis for Acute Kidney Injury, and What Future Studies are Required in this Area?**

In critically ill patients with AKI, who are supported by continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), there has been uncertainty whether a particular mode of clearance, either in the form of continuous haemodialysis (CVVHD) or haemofiltration (CVVH), is more efficacious and associated with better outcomes. The lack of certainty in this area has also likely contributed to a wide variation in clinical practice in how CRRT is prescribed. Theoretically, continuous haemofiltration, whereby solute is cleared by convection, should better enable clearance of middle molecular weight molecules, including inflammatory mediators, and accordingly translate into improved clinical outcomes when compared with continuous haemodialysis. In a small phase II randomised trial (2012) comparing CVVH to CVVHD, we found a trend for improved organ dysfunction in those allocated to CVVH, driven largely by a reduction in vasoactive requirements. In a systematic review, including 19 unique studies with variable data available to allow evaluation of clinical outcomes, there was no clear suggestion of superiority of haemodialysis or haemofiltration; however, the risk of bias across these studies was high. These data imply that a further large, high quality randomised comparison of CVVH versus CVVHD is not only feasible, but necessary, to better shape best practice for the delivery of renal support in critically ill patients with AKI.

#### **Which Interactions Between Organs or Compartments do You Think are Posing the Greatest Challenge to Physicians, ICUs and Medical Establishments Worldwide, and Where is Further Research Most Warranted?**

The kidneys' contributions to physiologic homeostasis are often under-appreciated. The kidney receives a considerable proportion of all cardiac output and is vital for several regulatory processes, including nitrogenous waste excretion/detoxification, fluid balance, electrolyte (i.e. sodium, potassium) and acid-base homeostasis and neuro-hormonal regulation (i.e. renin angiotensin, erythropoietin). Importantly, when the kidneys fail, renal replacement therapy does not in fact "replace" kidney function, but merely supports limited aspects of the kidneys' normal function (i.e. fluid, acid-base, azotaemic, potassium control). This could, in essence, only be accomplished by a kidney transplant. Indeed, as shown by Duranton and his study team in 2012, there are literally dozens of uraemic toxins that have the potential to interact with, and cause disruption of, other vital organs. In the critically ill patient, multi-organ dysfunction may herald the final common pathway of many inciting events (i.e. sepsis); however, without question the kidney is an active pro-inflammatory participant, if not protagonist in this process. The failing kidney has implications for numerous vital organs, whereby specific organ interaction may instigate and exacerbate bi-directional dysfunction, including the brain, heart, lung and liver, as described by Grams and Rabb, 2012. The challenge to clinicians is to understand key strategies and develop interventions that interrupt organ crosstalk pathways and lead to improved outcomes for patients.

#### **What are Your Most Significant Research Findings Regarding Elevated Cardiac-Specific Troponin (and Related Cardiac Complications) Following Emergency Repair of Ruptured Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms?**

With local collaborators, and those at other centres, a number of investigations have focused on the prognostic implications of cardiac-specific troponin leak and outcomes among patients undergoing noncardiac surgery and in critically ill patients. In the VISION study published in 2012, Devereaux and coinvestigators found that peri operative peak troponin elevation was independently associated with a graded increase in 30-day all-cause mortality after non-cardiac surgery. Elevation in cardiac-specific troponin has also been shown to be common in critically ill patients and correlates with myocardial infarction and increased risk for death.

We recently (2012) explored the incidence and significance of perioperative troponin elevation in a retrospective population-based cohort of patients with ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm surviving to receive emergent operative repair. In this cohort, we found 55% had elevated troponin levels in the first 72 hours after surgery, of which 43% had acute changes on their electrocardiogram (ECG) that were consistent with ischaemia. Troponin positive patients had a higher baseline prevalence of coronary artery disease and greater illness severity; also, importantly, these patients received a greater intensity of support (i.e. vasopressor or inotropic support), used greater health resources and were at a higher risk of in-hospital death. Moreover, elevated troponin associated with acute ECG changes was linked to an increased risk for complications, including heart failure and cardiogenic shock. We also found that fewer than two-thirds of troponin positive patients were investigated using the echocardiogram, despite a high incidence of myocardial dysfunction and wall motion abnormalities; and fewer still received an interventional procedure. We believe our data imply uncertainty in how to ideally manage troponin elevation in perioperative critically ill patients, to mitigate less favourable outcomes.

#### **What Studies do You Currently Have Underway? What is the Significance of this Research?**

At the University of Alberta Hospital, there is a large liver failure population and a large transplant programme. We have shown in preliminary studies (2009; 2011) that the use of continuous RRT during liver transplantation is safe and feasible in carefully selected patients. We are now performing a phase II randomised trial investigating the optimal method for intraoperative renal support during liver transplantation for patients with high illness severity and kidney dysfunction (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01575015). This trial is evaluating the impact of intraoperative CRRT, compared with usual care, on the occurrence of intraoperative and early postoperative adverse events, fluid management, and graft function. We believe this trial will help inform best perioperative practice for critically ill liver failure patients referred for liver transplantation. In addition, with local colleagues, we have had interest in exploring the clinical significance and the potential modifying impact of frailty in critical illness. Frailty is described as a multi-dimensional syndrome characterised by the loss of physiologic and cognitive reserves that gives rise to heightened

vulnerability to adverse events. We have hypothesised (2011) that frailty may be an important determinant of survival and recovery from an episode of critical illness. We have recently finished a large multi-centre prospective observational cohort study evaluating the prevalence and outcomes associated with frailty in older patients admitted to the ICU.

#### **What Problems in Critical Care Management do You Think Warrant the Most Consideration in Developing and Well as Developed Countries?**

My belief is that there are considerable challenges ahead for critical care, both for developing and developed countries. Some of the challenges in developing countries are related to inadequate primary care, a mechanism that could be seen as able to prevent critical illness in many respects, as studied by Adhikari and colleagues in 2010. However, the challenges are far more complex and have to consider the critical illnesses seen in developed countries as well as the added burden related to conflict and natural disasters, as expressed by Vanholder and his team in 2010. So while demand in developing countries is likely to expand, critical care services are expensive, and this capacity to pay for them will be limited. In developed countries, in particular in those with publicly funded models, one of our most significant challenges will be how to judiciously respond to the growing demand and societal expectation for critical care services amid limited ICU bed capacity and resource availability, in particular in the context of a growing older population.

Published on : Thu, 14 Mar 2013