

## Comparing Low-Fat, Plant-Based Diet to Low-Carb, Animal-Based Diet



Accroding to findings from a small but highly controlled study at the National Institutes of Health, people on a low-fat, plant-based diet ate fewer daily calories but had higher insulin and blood glucose levels, compared to when they ate a low-carbohydrate, animal-based diet.

The study was led by researchers at the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK), and compared the effects of the two diets on calorie intake, hormone levels, body weight, and more. The findings are published in *Nature Medicine*.

"High-fat foods have been thought to result in excess calorie intake because they have many calories per bite. Alternatively, high-carb foods can cause large swings in blood glucose and insulin that may increase hunger and lead to overeating," said NIDDK Senior Investigator Kevin Hall, Ph.D., the study's lead author. "Our study was designed to determine whether high-carb or high-fat diets result in greater calorie intake."

The researchers housed 20 adults without diabetes for four continuous weeks in the NIH Clinical Center's Metabolic Clinical Research Unit. The participants, 11 men and nine women, received either a plant-based, low-fat diet or an animal-based, low-carbohydrate diet for two weeks, immediately followed by two weeks on the alternate diet. The low-fat diet was high in carbohydrates. The low-carbohydrate diet was high in fats. Both diets were minimally processed and had equivalent amounts of non-starchy vegetables. The participants were given three meals a day, plus snacks, and could eat as much as desired.

Findings show that people on the low-fat diet ate 550 to 700 fewer calories per day than when they ate the low-carb diet. Despite the large differences in calorie intake, participants reported no differences in hunger, enjoyment of meals, or fullness between the two diets. Participants lost weight on both diets, but only the low-fat diet led to a significant loss of body fat.

"Despite eating food with an abundance of high glycaemic carbohydrates that resulted in pronounced swings in blood glucose and insulin, people eating the plant-based, low-fat diet showed a significant reduction in calorie intake and loss of body fat, which challenges the idea that high-carb diets lead people to overeat. On the other hand, the animal-based, low-carb diet did not result in weight gain despite being high in fat," said Hall.

These findings suggest that the factors that result in overeating and weight gain are more complex than the amount of carbs or fat in one's diet.

Despite eating food with an abundance of high glycaemic carbohydrates, people eating the plant-based, low-fat diet showed a significant reduction in calorie intake and loss of body fat

The plant-based, low-fat diet contained 10.3% fat and 75.2% carbohydrate, while the animal-based, low-carb diet was 10% carbohydrate and 75.8% fat. Both diets contained about 14% protein and were matched for total calories presented to the subjects, although the low-carb diet had twice as many calories per gram of food than the low-fat diet. On the low-fat menu, dinner might consist of a baked sweet potato, chickpeas, broccoli and oranges, while a low-carb dinner might be beef stir fry with cauliflower rice. Subjects could eat what and however much they chose of the meals they were given.

"Interestingly, our findings suggest benefits to both diets, at least in the short-term. While the low-fat, plant-based diet helps curb appetite, the animal-based, low-carb diet resulted in lower and more steady insulin and glucose levels," Hall said. "We don't yet know if these differences would be sustained over the long term."

"To help us achieve good nutrition, rigorous science is critical – and of particular importance now, in light of the COVID-19 pandemic, as we aim to identify strategies to help us stay healthy," said NIDDK Director Griffin P. Rodgers, M.D. "This study brings us closer to answering long-sought questions about how what we eat affects our health."

Source: National Institutes of Health

Image Credit: iStock

Published on : Mon, 25 Jan 2021